6th Grade Fellows Task Rubrics

	1	2	3	4
	Did not meet Standard		Met Standard	
	• The solutions were	Student was able to	Student was able to:	Student was able to:
Content Standard: 6.RP.A Understand ratio concepts and use ratio reasoning to solve problems.	 inaccurate. The justification was not represented or inaccurate. 	 do one of the following: Correctly identify the fraction of students that are boys as 4/9 or Correctly identify the total number of students as 270 	 Correctly identify the fraction of students that are boys as 4/9. Accurately justify the fractional relationship. Correctly identify the total number of students as 270 Accurately justify their solution using one mathematical strategy 	 Correctly identify the fraction of students that are boys as 4/9. Accurately justify the fractional relationship. Correctly identify the total number of students as 270. Accurately justify their solution using two or more mathematical strategies
Standards for	1	2	3	4
Mathematical	Did Not Meet Standard		Met Standard	
Practice:	The Level 1 student	The Level 2 student	The Level 3 student	The Level 4 student
3 and 6	can construct simple	can construct viable	can construct viable	can construct viable
ALD Claim:	viable arguments with	arguments with partial	arguments with	arguments with
3	minimal clarity and	clarity and precision to	adequate clarity and	thorough clarity and
Students can clearly	precision to support	support his or her own	precision to support	precision in unfamiliar
and precisely	his or her own	reasoning and to	his or her own	contexts to support
construct viable	reasoning in familiar	partially critique the	reasoning and to	his or her own
arguments to support	contexts.	reasoning of others in	critique the reasoning	reasoning and to
their own reasoning		familiar contexts.	of others.	critique the reasoning
and to critique the				of others.
reasoning of others.				

7th Grade Fellows Task Rubric

	1	2	3	4
	Did not meet Standard		Met Standard	
Content Standard: 7.RP.A Analyze proportional relationships and use them to solve real- world and mathematical problems.	 Unable to identify 0.6 gallons as the amount of green pain in 1 gallon. Did not accurately produce a solution to part 2. 	 Student was able to do one of the following: Identify 0.6 gallons as the amount of green paint in 1 gallon Decide that the Tim's use of 28 gallons of green paint and 22 gallons of blue paint would not produce the same color as his 80 gallons. 	 Student was able to: Identify 0.6 gallons as the amount of green paint in 1 gallon Decide that the Tim's use of 28 gallons of green paint and 22 gallons of blue paint would not produce the same color as his 80 gallons. Show accurate mathematical justification. 	 Student was able to: Identify 0.6 gallons as the amount of green paint in 1 gallon Decide that the Tim's use of 28 gallons of green paint and 22 gallons of blue paint would not produce the same color as his 80 gallons. Recognize that Tim needs 30 gallons of green and 20 gallons of blue. Show accurate mathematical justification.
Standards for	1	2	3	4
Mathematical	Did Not Me	et Standard	Met Standard	
Practice: 3 and 6 ALD Claim: 3 Students can clearly and precisely construct viable arguments to support their own reasoning and to critique the reasoning of others.	The Level 1 student can construct simple viable arguments with minimal clarity and precision to support his or her own reasoning in familiar contexts.	The Level 2 student can construct viable arguments with partial clarity and precision to support his or her own reasoning and to partially critique the reasoning of others in familiar contexts.	The Level 3 student can construct viable arguments with adequate clarity and precision to support his or her own reasoning and to critique the reasoning of others.	The Level 4 student can construct viable arguments with thorough clarity and precision in unfamiliar contexts to support his or her own reasoning and to critique the reasoning of others.

8th Grade Fellows Task Rubric

	1	2	3	4
	Did not meet Standard		Met Standard	
Content Standard: 8.EE.B Understand the connections between proportional relationships, lines, and linear equations.	 Student does one of the following: Did not answer. Identify Jason was making more money. Completely unclear or inaccurate mathematical reasoning and/or representation No evidence of algebraic representation 	 Student was able to: Identify Anna was making more money. Attempt some mathematical reasoning and/or representation of their solution. No evidence of algebraic representation 	 Student was able to: Identify Anna was making more money. Justify why Anna makes more money using accurate proportional reasoning and mathematical representations Inaccurate evidence of algebraic representation 	 Student was able to: Identify Anna was making more money. Justify why Anna makes more money using accurate proportional reasoning and mathematical representations Accurate evidence of algebraic representation that models Jason and Anna's earnings.
Standards for	1	2	3	4
Mathematical	Did Not Meet Standard		Met Standard	
Practice: 3 and 6 ALD Claim: 3 Students can clearly and precisely construct viable arguments to support their own reasoning and to critique the reasoning of others.	The Level 1 student can construct simple viable arguments with minimal clarity and precision to support his or her own reasoning in familiar contexts.	The Level 2 student can construct viable arguments with partial clarity and precision to support his or her own reasoning and to partially critique the reasoning of others in familiar contexts.	The Level 3 student can construct viable arguments with adequate clarity and precision to support his or her own reasoning and to critique the reasoning of others.	The Level 4 student can construct viable arguments with thorough clarity and precision in unfamiliar contexts to support his or her own reasoning and to critique the reasoning of others.