| Content Standard: <br> 6.RP.A <br> Understand ratio concepts and use ratio reasoning to solve problems. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Did not meet Standard |  | Met Standard |  |
|  | - The solutions were inaccurate. <br> - The justification was not represented or inaccurate. | Student was able to do one of the following: <br> - Correctly identify the fraction of students that are boys as 4/9 or <br> - Correctly identify the total number of students as 270 | Student was able to: <br> - Correctly identify the fraction of students that are boys as 4/9. <br> - Accurately justify the fractional relationship. <br> - Correctly identify the total number of students as 270 <br> - Accurately justify their solution using one mathematical strategy | Student was able to: <br> - Correctly identify the fraction of students that are boys as 4/9. <br> - Accurately justify the fractional relationship. <br> - Correctly identify the total number of students as 270. <br> - Accurately justify their solution using two or more mathematical strategies |
| Standards for | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Mathematical | Did Not Meet Standard |  | Met Standard |  |
| Practice: <br> 3 and 6 <br> ALD Claim: <br> 3 <br> Students can clearly <br> and precisely <br> construct viable arguments to support their own reasoning and to critique the reasoning of others. | The Level 1 student can construct simple viable arguments with minimal clarity and precision to support his or her own reasoning in familiar contexts. | The Level 2 student can construct viable arguments with partial clarity and precision to support his or her own reasoning and to partially critique the reasoning of others in familiar contexts. | The Level 3 student can construct viable arguments with adequate clarity and precision to support his or her own reasoning and to critique the reasoning of others. | The Level 4 student can construct viable arguments with thorough clarity and precision in unfamiliar contexts to support his or her own reasoning and to critique the reasoning of others. |


| Content Standard: <br> 7.RP.A <br> Analyze proportional relationships and use them to solve realworld and mathematical problems. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Did not meet Standard |  | Met Standard |  |
|  | - Unable to identify 0.6 gallons as the amount of green pain in 1 gallon. <br> - Did not accurately produce a solution to part 2. | Student was able to do one of the following: <br> - Identify 0.6 gallons as the amount of green paint in 1 gallon <br> - Decide that the Tim's use of 28 gallons of green paint and 22 gallons of blue paint would not produce the same color as his 80 gallons. | Student was able to: <br> - Identify 0.6 gallons as the amount of green paint in 1 gallon <br> - Decide that the Tim's use of 28 gallons of green paint and 22 gallons of blue paint would not produce the same color as his 80 gallons. <br> - Show accurate mathematical justification. | Student was able to: <br> - Identify 0.6 gallons as the amount of green paint in 1 gallon <br> - Decide that the Tim's use of 28 gallons of green paint and 22 gallons of blue paint would not produce the same color as his 80 gallons. <br> - Recognize that Tim needs 30 gallons of green and 20 gallons of blue. <br> - Show accurate mathematical justification. |
| Standards for <br> Mathematical <br> Practice: <br> 3 and 6 <br> ALD Claim: <br> 3 <br> Students can clearly <br> and precisely construct viable arguments to support their own reasoning and to critique the reasoning of others. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|  | Did Not Meet Standard |  | Met Standard |  |
|  | The Level 1 student can construct simple viable arguments with minimal clarity and precision to support his or her own reasoning in familiar contexts. | The Level 2 student can construct viable arguments with partial clarity and precision to support his or her own reasoning and to partially critique the reasoning of others in familiar contexts. | The Level 3 student can construct viable arguments with adequate clarity and precision to support his or her own reasoning and to critique the reasoning of others. | The Level 4 student can construct viable arguments with thorough clarity and precision in unfamiliar contexts to support his or her own reasoning and to critique the reasoning of others. |

## $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade Fellows Task Rubric

| Content Standard: <br> 8.EE.B <br> Understand the connections between proportional relationships, lines, and linear equations. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Did not meet Standard |  | Met Standard |  |
|  | Student does one of the following: <br> - Did not answer. <br> - Identify Jason was making more money. <br> - Completely unclear or inaccurate mathematical reasoning and/or representation <br> - No evidence of algebraic representation | Student was able to: <br> - Identify Anna was making more money. <br> - Attempt some mathematical reasoning and/or representation of their solution. <br> - No evidence of algebraic representation | Student was able to: <br> - Identify Anna was making more money. <br> Justify why Anna makes more money using accurate proportional reasoning and mathematical representations <br> - Inaccurate evidence of algebraic representation | Student was able to: <br> - Identify Anna was making more money. <br> - Justify why Anna makes more money using accurate proportional reasoning and mathematical representations <br> - Accurate evidence of algebraic representation that models Jason and Anna's earnings. |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Mathematical | Did Not Meet Standard |  | Met Standard |  |
| 3 and 6 <br> ALD Claim: <br> 3 <br> Students can clearly and precisely construct viable arguments to support their own reasoning and to critique the reasoning of others. | The Level 1 student can construct simple viable arguments with minimal clarity and precision to support his or her own reasoning in familiar contexts. | The Level 2 student can construct viable arguments with partial clarity and precision to support his or her own reasoning and to partially critique the reasoning of others in familiar contexts. | The Level 3 student can construct viable arguments with adequate clarity and precision to support his or her own reasoning and to critique the reasoning of others. | The Level 4 student can construct viable arguments with thorough clarity and precision in unfamiliar contexts to support his or her own reasoning and to critique the reasoning of others. |

