**Principal/Administrator Focused Feedback Document**

**Criterion 1: AWSP Leadership Framework**

**The purpose of this document is to capture the progress over the course of the year and to facilitate a growth-focused conversation.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Name:** |   | **[ ]  Principal** | [ ]  **Asst. Principal** | **Date:** |   |
| **Evaluator:** |   | **District/School:** |   | **School Year:** |   |
| **Comprehensive Evaluation Score\*: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_** | **From School Year:** |   |  |  |

\*The final focus summative rating will be the same as the last Comprehensive score unless it is a 3 and evidence during this evaluation period indicates a level 4 practice.

**Criterion 1: Creating a school culture that promotes the ongoing improvement of learning and teaching for students and staff**

|  | **Unsatisfactory** | **Basic** | **Proficient** | **Distinguished** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **1.1 Develops and sustains focus on a shared mission and clear vision for improvement of learning and teaching** | Does not communicate mission, vision, and core values; tolerates behaviors and school activities in opposition to a culture of ongoing improvement | Vision and mission are developing; connections between school activities, behaviors and the vision are made explicit; vision and mission are shared and supported by stakeholders | Communicates a vision of ongoing improvement in teaching and learning such that staff and students perceive and agree upon what the school is working to achieve; encourages and supports behaviors and school activities that explicitly align with vision; shares enthusiasm and optimism that the vision will be realized; regularly communicates a strong commitment to the mission and vision of the school and holds stakeholders accountable for implementation | Is proficient AND provides leadership and support such that shared vision and goals are at the forefront of attention for students and staff and at the center of their work; communicates mission, vision, and core values to community stakeholders such that the wider community knows, understands and supports the vision of the changing world in the 21st Century that schools are preparing children to enter and succeed |
| **1.2 Engages in essential conversations for ongoing improvement** | Avoids conversations; does not make time for conversations; is not available to staff, students, other stakeholders, does not communicate high expectations and high standards for staff and students regarding ongoing improvement | Communication moderately reflects issues with members of the school community; reinforces two-way communication with staff and students; barriers to improvement are identified and addressed; conversations are mostly data-driven for the purposes of assessing improvement with infrequent high expectations for students | Assumes responsibility for accurate communication and productive flow of ideas among staff, students and stakeholders: provides leadership such that the essential conversations take place and in ways that maintain trust, dignity, and ensure accountability of participants; creates and sustains productive two-way communication that include staff members and students; regularly communicates high expectations and standards for staff and students regarding ongoing improvement | Is proficient AND establishes and promotes successful systems and methods for communication that extend beyond the school community; creates a productive feedback loop among stakeholders that keeps the dialogue ongoing and purposeful; methods are recognized and adopted for purposes beyond school; staff report confidence in their ability to engage in essential conversations for ongoing improvement; consistently communicates high expectations and standards for staff and students regarding ongoing improvement |
| **1.3 Facilitates collaborative processes leading toward continuous improvement** | Does not actively support or facilitate collaboration among staff; tolerates behaviors that impede collaboration among staff; fosters a climate of competition and supports unhealthy interactions among staff | Demonstrates some understanding of the value of collaboration and what it takes to support it (i.e. building trust); facilitates collaboration among staff for certain purposes; emerging consensus-building and negotiation skills | Actively models, supports, and facilitates collaborative processes among staff utilizing diversity of skills, perspectives and knowledge in the group; assumes responsibility for monitoring group dynamics and for promoting an open and constructive atmosphere for group discussions; creates opportunities for staff to initiate collaborative processes across grade levels and subject areas that support ongoing improvement of teaching and learning | Is proficient AND successfully creates systems that build the capacity of stakeholders to collaborate across grade levels and subject areas; is recognized by school community and other stakeholders for leadership that results in a high degree of meaningful collaboration  |
| **1.4 Creates opportunities for shared leadership** | Offers no model or opportunity for shared leadership (i.e. delegation, internship, etc.); makes decisions unilaterally | Offers opportunities for staff and students to be in leadership roles; engages processes for shared decision-making; uses strategies to develop the capacity for shared leadership (.i.e. delegation, internship, etc.) | Provides continual opportunity and invitation for staff to develop leadership qualities; consistently engages processes that support high participation in decision-making; assesses, analyzes and anticipates emerging trends and initiatives in order to adapt shared leadership opportunities | Is proficient AND proactively cultivates leadership qualities in others; builds a sense of efficacy and empowerment among staff and students that results in increased capacity to accomplish substantial outcomes; involves staff in leadership roles that foster career development; expands opportunities for community stakeholders to engage in shared leadership  |
| **Reflections of Student Growth** |
| **3.5 Provides evidence of student growth that results from the school improvement planning process** | School improvement planning process results in no improvement in student academic growth | School improvement planning process results in minimal improvement in student academic growth | School improvement planning process results in measurable improvement in student academic growth | School improvement planning process results in significant improvement in student academic growth |
| **5.5 Provides evidence of student growth of selected teachers** | Multiple measures of student achievement of selected teachers show no academic growth | Multiple measures of student achievement of selected teachers show minimal academic growth | Multiple measures of student achievement of selected teachers show measurable academic growth | Multiple measures of student achievement of selected teachers show significant academic growth |
| **8.3 Provides evidence of growth in student learning** | Achievement data from multiple sources or data points show no evidence of student growth toward the district’s learning goals; there are growing achievement gaps between student subgroups | Achievement data from multiple sources or data points shows minimum evidence of student growth toward the district’s learning goals for identified subgroups of students | Achievement data from multiple sources or data points show evidence of improving student growth toward the district’s learning goals; the average achievement of the student population improved as does the achievement of each subgroup of students identified as needing improvement | Achievement data from multiple sources or data points show evidence of consistent growth toward the district’s learning goals; there is consistent record of improved student achievement, on multiple indicators, with identified subgroups of students |

|  |
| --- |
| **Reflective Questions:** |
| **As we look toward next year, do you feel that your practice would be enhanced by continuing with this criterion? Or, might it be time to consider further developing your skills with a different criterion?** |
|  |
| **Given your response to the previous question, what supports can I, as your evaluator, provide for you to maximize your growth next year?**  |
|  |

Both signatures required. Signing of this instrument acknowledges participation in but not necessarily concurrence with the evaluation. (Attach Principal/Administrator comments if desired.)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Evaluator Signature:** |   | **Date:** |   |
| **Employee Signature:** |   | **Date:** |   |