**Principal/Administrator Focused Feedback Document**

**Criterion 3: AWSP Leadership Framework**

**The purpose of this document is to capture the progress over the course of the year and to facilitate a growth-focused conversation.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Name:** |   | **[ ]  Principal** | [ ]  **Asst. Principal** | **Date:** |   |
| **Evaluator:** |   | **District/School:** |   | **School Year:** |   |
| **Comprehensive Evaluation Score\*: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_** | **From School Year:** |   |  |  |

\*The final focus summative rating will be the same as the last Comprehensive score unless it is a 3 and evidence during this evaluation period indicates a level 4 practice.

**Criterion 3: Leading the development, implementation and evaluation of a data-driven plan for increasing student achievement, including the use of multiple student data elements**

|  | **Unsatisfactory** | **Basic** | **Proficient** | **Distinguished** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **3.1 Recognizes and seeks out multiple data sources** | Does not recognize multiple sources or quality of data or has a limited understanding of the power and meaning of data | Seeks multiple sources of data to guide decision making; emerging knowledge of what constitutes valid and reliable sources of data and data integrity | Systematically collects valid and reliable data from at least three sources to be used in problem solving and decision making; builds capacity of staff to recognize information as data by providing examples of using data throughout the building and in staff meetings; systematically gathers data on grades, attendance, behavior and other variables to inform efforts | Is proficient AND explores and uses a wide variety of monitoring and data collection strategies; responds to an identified need for timely data by putting new data collection processes in place to collect reliable and valid data  |
| **3.2 Analyzes and interprets multiple data sources to inform school-level improvement efforts** | Reviews and shares limited school-level data only as required; interpretation of data may be incorrect or incomplete; misuses data  | Uses numerous data analysis methods and eager to broaden knowledge of data analysis and interpretation; uses school-level data to inform improvement across eight criteria  | Analysis includes multiple years of data, including state, district, school and formal and informal classroom assessments; interprets available data to make informed decisions about strengths and areas of need; provides teacher teams with previous year’s data and asks them to assess students’ current needs | Is proficient AND consistently leads in data interpretation, analysis, and communication; links multiple years of student data to teachers and builds capacity of staff to understand and use their data for improved teaching and learning; practices a high standard for data reliability, validity and fairness and keeps these concepts in the forefront of conversations with staff |
| **3.3 Implements data-driven plan for improved teaching and learning** | Plan is limited, not data driven and/or not aligned with the needs of the school; little stakeholder involvement and commitment  | Plan is monitored, evaluated and revised resulting in data driven changes; works to build stakeholder involvement and commitment; models data-driven conversations in support of plan | Provides leadership such that plan is clearly articulated and includes action steps and progress monitoring strategies, and strategies in the plan are directly aligned with the data analysis process and are research based; leads ongoing review of progress and results to make timely adjustments to the plan; data insights are regularly the subject of faculty meetings and PD sessions | Is proficient AND creates a school culture of using data for decisions and continuous improvement in aspects of school life; orchestrates high-quality, low-stakes action planning meetings after each round of assessments; data driven plan specifically documents examples of decisions made on the basis of data analysis and results are documented to inform future decisions; provides assistance or coaching to other school administrators to improve their data driven plan and analysis |
| **3.4 Assists staff to use data to guide, modify and improve classroom teaching and student learning** | Does not assist staff to use data to reflect on effectiveness of lessons, guide lesson and assessment development, differentiate instruction, and to determine whether re-teaching, practice or moving forward is appropriate | Occasionally assists staff to use multiple types of data to reflect on teaching to determine whether re-teaching, practice or moving forward is appropriate; strategies result in incomplete relationship between the actions of teachers and the impact on student achievement | Regularly assists staff to use multiple types of data to reflect on effectiveness of lessons, guide lesson and assessment development, differentiate instruction (highly achieving as well as non-proficient) and to determine whether re-teaching, practice or moving forward with instruction is appropriate at both the group and individual level; strategies result in clear relationship between the actions of teachers and the impact on student achievement | Is proficient AND demonstrates leadership by routinely and consistently leading teachers to use multiple types of data to reflect on effectiveness of lessons, guide lesson and assessment development, differentiate instruction, and to determine whether re-teaching, practice or moving forward with instruction is appropriate at both the group and individual level |
| **Reflections of Student Growth** |
| **3.5 Provides evidence of student growth that results from the school improvement planning process** | School improvement planning process results in no improvement in student academic growth | School improvement planning process results in minimal improvement in student academic growth | School improvement planning process results in measurable improvement in student academic growth | School improvement planning process results in significant improvement in student academic growth |

|  |
| --- |
| **Reflective Questions:** |
| **As we look toward next year, do you feel that your practice would be enhanced by continuing with this criterion? Or, might it be time to consider further developing your skills with a different criterion?** |
|  |
| **Given your response to the previous question, what supports can I, as your evaluator, provide for you to maximize your growth next year?**  |
|  |

Both signatures required. Signing of this instrument acknowledges participation in but not necessarily concurrence with the evaluation. (Attach Principal/Administrator comments if desired.)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Evaluator Signature:** |   | **Date:** |   |
| **Employee Signature:** |   | **Date:** |   |