**Principal/Administrator Focused Feedback Document**

**Criterion 6: AWSP Leadership Framework**

**The purpose of this document is to capture the progress over the course of the year and to facilitate a growth-focused conversation.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Name:** |   | **[ ]  Principal** | [ ]  **Asst. Principal** | **Date:** |   |
| **Evaluator:** |   | **District/School:** |   | **School Year:** |   |
| **Comprehensive Evaluation Score\*: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_** | **From School Year:** |   |  |  |

\*The final focus summative rating will be the same as the last Comprehensive score unless it is a 3 and evidence during this evaluation period indicates a level 4 practice.

**Criterion 6: Managing both staff and fiscal resources to support student achievement and legal responsibilities.**

|  | **Unsatisfactory** | **Basic** | **Proficient** | **Distinguished** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **6.1 Managing human resources (assignment, hiring)** | Does not adequately address issues in hiring and placement of staff for the benefit of students in classrooms; does not put student needs at the forefront of human resource decisions; does not make an effort to ensure quality personnel in each position | Places the needs of students at the center of some human resource decisions with moderate effect; possesses some skills and knowledge required to recruit and hire highly qualified individuals in school positions | Places students’ needs at the center of human resource decisions and decisions regarding hiring and placement of staff; conducts a rigorous hiring process when choosing staff; focuses energy on ensuring productivity through staff placement | Is proficient AND optimizes the school's human resources and assets of staff members to maximize opportunities for student growth; is distinguished in management of human resources and is called upon to share those successful processes outside of school; efforts produce a positive work environment that attracts outstanding talent; continuously searches for staff with outstanding potential as educators and provides the best placement of both new and existing staff to fully benefits from their strengths in meeting the needs of a diverse student population |
| **6.2 Managing human resources (ongoing professional development)** | Staff receive inadequate opportunities for professional development to meet students’ and staffs’ needs; professional development offered is not of sufficient quality to be effective | Professional development plan somewhat aligns to organization’s vision and plan; PD is partly effective in leading to minor improvements in staff practice; little or no documentation of effectiveness of past professional development offerings and teacher outcomes | Professional development plan has three to four areas of emphasis, job embedded, ongoing and linked to the organization’s vision and plan; systematic evaluation of the effectiveness of past PD offerings and outcomes; creates and supports informal professional development (i.e. professional learning communities); offers PD that meets teachers’ needs and has elements of high-quality PD (sufficient duration, content, etc.)  | Is proficient AND has adopted research-based strategies for evaluating the effectiveness of PD documenting growth in teacher knowledge to student outcomes; can identify specific PD offerings of prior years that were systematically reviewed and either eliminated or modified to support organizational goals |
| **6.3 Managing fiscal resources** | Does not make fiscal decisions that maximize resources in support of improved teaching and learning; provides little or no evidence of lists of milestones or deadlines in managing time or fiscal resources; does not work with teachers to establish goals for student achievement linked to individual teacher professional development | Fiscal decisions occasionally support some aspects of improved teaching and learning; projects are managed using milestones and deadlines but not updated frequently; does not always meet project deadlines and impact not frequently documented | Engages others in dialogue on budget decisions based on data, School Improvement Plan, and district priorities that support learning; makes fiscal decisions that maximize resources and supports improved teaching and learning; uses defined process to track expenditures; frequently monitors data, documents and evaluates results; uses findings to improve fiscal decisions; documented history reveals ability to manage complex projects and meet deadlines within budget; regularly works with teachers to establish goals for student achievement linked to individual teachers professional development | Is proficient AND demonstrates leadership in the design and successful enactment of uniquely creative approaches that regularly save time and money; results indicate that strategically redirected resources have positive impact in achieving priorities; guides decision-making such that efficacy grows among stakeholders for arriving at fiscal decisions for improvement of teaching and learning; augments resources by writing successful state and/or federal grants; seeks numerous external funding sources; consistently works with teachers to establish goals for student achievement linked to individual teachers professional development |
| **6.4 Fulfilling legal responsibilities** | Fails to demonstrate adequate knowledge of legal responsibilities; exhibits behaviors and policies that conflict with the law; tolerates behavior from self or staff that conflicts with the law | Demonstrates basic knowledge and understanding of legal responsibilities; makes resource management decisions consistent with that knowledge; does not entertain behaviors and policies that conflict with the law | Demonstrates a deep and thorough knowledge and understanding of the law and its intent; makes resource management decisions consistent with that knowledge ; operates with deep and thorough knowledge and understanding of district policies and collective bargaining agreements; consistently holds self and staff to legal standards | Is proficient AND consistently demonstrates leadership for developing systems that communicate and support staff in upholding legal responsibilities; creates a culture of shared legal responsibility among students and staff; involves stakeholders in the creation of a school culture that thrives upon and benefits from addressing legal responsibilities |
| **Reflections of Student Growth** |
| **3.5 Provides evidence of student growth that results from the school improvement planning process** | School improvement planning process results in no improvement in student academic growth | School improvement planning process results in minimal improvement in student academic growth | School improvement planning process results in measurable improvement in student academic growth | School improvement planning process results in significant improvement in student academic growth |
| **5.5 Provides evidence of student growth of selected teachers** | Multiple measures of student achievement of selected teachers show no academic growth | Multiple measures of student achievement of selected teachers show minimal academic growth | Multiple measures of student achievement of selected teachers show measurable academic growth | Multiple measures of student achievement of selected teachers show significant academic growth |
| **8.3 Provides evidence of growth in student learning** | Achievement data from multiple sources or data points show no evidence of student growth toward the district’s learning goals; there are growing achievement gaps between student subgroups | Achievement data from multiple sources or data points shows minimum evidence of student growth toward the district’s learning goals for identified subgroups of students | Achievement data from multiple sources or data points show evidence of improving student growth toward the district’s learning goals; the average achievement of the student population improved as does the achievement of each subgroup of students identified as needing improvement | Achievement data from multiple sources or data points show evidence of consistent growth toward the district’s learning goals; there is consistent record of improved student achievement, on multiple indicators, with identified subgroups of students |

|  |
| --- |
| **Reflective Questions:** |
| **As we look toward next year, do you feel that your practice would be enhanced by continuing with this criterion? Or, might it be time to consider further developing your skills with a different criterion?** |
|  |
| **Given your response to the previous question, what supports can I, as your evaluator, provide for you to maximize your growth next year?**  |
|  |

Both signatures required. Signing of this instrument acknowledges participation in but not necessarily concurrence with the evaluation. (Attach Principal/Administrator comments if desired.)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Evaluator Signature:** |   | **Date:** |   |
| **Employee Signature:** |   | **Date:** |   |